



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065,570	10/31/2002	Javed M. Khan	24AT125642	9743

33727 7590 12/14/2005

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. BOX 8910  
RESTON, VA 20195

EXAMINER
----------

PARDO, THUY N

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2165

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/065,570	KHAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Thuy Pardo	2165	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 August 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment file on August 30, 2005 in response to Examiner's Office Action has been reviewed. Claims 1, 5, 9 and 17 have been amended.

2. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

#### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Cherrington et al.** (Hereinafter "Cherrington") US Patent No. 6,070,155, in view of **Melick et al.** (Hereinafter "Melick") US Patent Application No. 2001/0047283.

As to claim 1, Cherrington teaches a method of providing a receipt inspection reporting process comprising:

receiving receipt inspection reporting data via a receipt inspection reporting system [inspection report, ab; col. 10, lines 45 to col. 11, lines 33; 804 of fig. 8]; and

Art Unit: 2165

storing the received receipt inspection reporting data in a database [customer/inspection database, 24 of fig. 1; 654 of fig. 6B; col. 8, lines 30-44], the receipt inspection reporting data configured for access using the receipt inspection reporting system [ab; col. 8, lines 10-44], wherein the stored receipt inspection reporting data is assigned different categories of defect [the inspection requests information different categories of defect, such as on the brake pedal, the parking brake, the panel lights and the wheels of the vehicle, see col. 14, lines 58-66, and col. 11, lines 43-49]; and

wherein the receipt inspection reporting data is processed via multi-layers [inspection results form different fields, 206, 208, 210 of fig. 2] for review and approval being reported [generates an inspection report after the inspection results have been inputs, ab; 902-914 of fig. 9; col. 4, lines 61-64; col. 8, lines 45 to col. 9, lines 27].

However, Cherrington does not explicitly teach that the inspection report system is applied in Internet. Melick teaches that the inspection report system is applied in Internet [0038; 0046; 0071; 0078].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention to add the feature of Cherrington to the system of Melick as an essential means to expand the services of inspection reporting and tracking among a large number of parties located throughout the world.

As to claim 2, Cherrington and Melick teach the invention substantially as claimed. Melick further teaches updating automatically the stored receipt inspection reporting data upon receiving updated receipt inspection reporting data [ab; 0074; 0077, claim 1].

As to claim 3, Cherrington and Melick teach the invention substantially as claimed. Melick further teaches that the receipt inspection reporting data comprises information relating to one or more of defects or damages for a shipment of goods [0013; fig. 12].

As to claim 4, Cherrington and Melick teach the invention substantially as claimed. Cherrington further teaches inputting receipt inspection reporting data for storing in the database [col. 4, lines 25-32; col. 8, lines 30-44].

As to claim 5, all limitations of this claim have been addressed in the analysis above, and this claim is rejected on that basis.

As to claim 6, Cherrington and Melick teach the invention substantially as claimed. Melick further teaches entering additional receipt inspection reporting data using a plurality of predetermined data entry fields provided as part of the web-based receipt inspection reporting system [0013; 0074].

As to claim 7, Cherrington and Melick teach the invention substantially as claimed. Melick further teaches entering new receipt inspection reporting data using a plurality of predetermined data entry fields provided as part of the web-based receipt inspection reporting system [fig. 6, 9, 12].

Art Unit: 2165

As to claim 8, Cherrington and Melick teach the invention substantially as claimed. Melick further teaches at least one of receipt inspection reporting shipment information, receipt inspection reporting log information, receipt inspection reporting defect information, receipt inspection reporting damage information and receipt inspection reporting correspondence information [fig. 12].

As to claim 9, Cherrington and Melick teach the invention substantially as claimed. Cherrington further teaches searching and outputting receipt inspection reporting data based upon user defined search criteria [fig. 6]

As to claim 10, Cherrington and Melick teach the invention substantially as claimed. Cherrington further teaches that the web-based receipt inspection reporting system is configured to provide a predetermined list of defect codes for use in searching [col. 14, lines 58 to col. 15, lines 22; col. 22, lines 57 to col. 23, lines 17].

As to claims 11-20, all limitations of these claims have been addressed in the analysis above, and these claims are rejected on that basis.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that neither Cherrington nor Melick teach the feature that the receipt inspection report data is processed via multi-layers for review and approval before being reported.

As to this point, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner believes that this feature was taught by Cherrington. Cherrington teaches generating an inspection report or a recommended/suggested services report after entering inspection results from different fields such as brake inspection results, suspension inspection results and exhaust inspection results [206, 208, 210 of fig. 2], in which the inspection results were calculated based on inspection guidelines retrieved from the inspection guideline database and measurements and specifications database [ab; fig. 9; col. 4, lines 61-64; col. 8, lines 45 to col. 9, lines 27].

### ***Conclusion***

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuy Pardo, whose telephone number is 571-272-4082. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin, can be reached at 571-272-4146.


The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned as follows: 571-273-8300 (Official Communication)

and/or:

*571-273-4082 (Use this Fax#, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "Draft" communication. Examiner may request that a formal/amendment be faxed directly to then on occasions).*

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 09, 2005



**THUY N. PARDO**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**